

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,044	08/04/2003	Hidetake Segawa	16870	5278
	7590 02/23/200 TT MURPHY & PRES	· EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			LEUBECKER, JOHN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		02/23/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/634,044	SEGAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John P. Leubecker	3739			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for Reply		•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	ugust 2003				
<u> </u>	action is non-final.				
<del>'</del> =	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
Disposition of Claims	,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	<del>-</del> · · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ation No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been recei	ved in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	յ (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ved.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗔 Interview Summa				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	т аселі Арріісаціон			
<del></del>	· — —				

Application/Control Number: 10/634,044 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to an apparatus and method, classified in class 348, subclass
   340.
- II. Claims 15-27, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 438, subclass 107.
- III. Claim 28, drawn to method, classified in class 438, subclass 107.
- IV. Claim 29, drawn to method, classified in class 600, subclass 109.
- V. Claims 30, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 600, subclass 130.
- VI. Claim 31, drawn to method, classified in class 348, subclass 68.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I-VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination of invention I has separate utility since it is directed to the alignment of an optical system with and an image sensor. The remaining subcombinations do not require such alignment and are directed to other aspects distinct and independent with such alignment. Invention II is directed to the mechanical connection of electrical substrates, such mechanical connection not being required in any of inventions I or III-VI. Invention III is drawn to a method of electrically connecting a plurality of substrates, such method nor resulting structure being required by inventions I, II and IV-VI. Invention IV is directed to a method of positioning an image sensor on a substrate, the following of such method not being required by any of inventions I-III, V or VI. Invention V is directed to the specific positioning of

components that is not required by any of the other inventions. Invention VI is directed to a method of controlling LED timings which is not required by any of the other inventions. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an 4. election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 3739

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4769. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

jpl